



innomet

**DEVELOPMENT OF THE INNOVATIVE DATABASE MODEL
FOR ADDING INNOVATION CAPACITY OF LABOUR FORCE AND ENTREPRENEURS
OF THE METAL ENGINEERING, MACHINERY AND APPARATUS SECTOR**

TEST REPORT

for the INNOMET Prototype System

Date: March 21, 2007

Prepared by:

Group Innomet Hungary

Department of Manufacturing Engineering
Budapest University of Technology and Economics

Author(s):

**Dr. Boór, Ferenc
Dr. Mikó, Balázs**

Deliverable No.: 5.1

Document No.: INNOMETII. 5.1

Status: Final

INNOMET II – Integrated human resource development and monitoring system for adding innovation capacity of labour force and entrepreneurs of the metal engineering, machinery and apparatus sector – a project funded by the Commission of the European Communities under the Leonardo da Vinci II programme (project no. 2005-EE/05/B/F/PP-169001)

Partners:

- TCEB, Tallinn City Enterprise Board, Estonia
- EML, Federation of Estonian Engineering Industry, Estonia
- TUT Tallinn Technical University, Estonia
- BME, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary
- IAL Piemonte, Training Institute for Workers of Piemonte, Italy
- KTH, Stockholm Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
- LMA, Association of Mechanical Engineering and Metalworking Industries of Latvia
- Alfamicro Lda, Consulting in Technology Transfer and Information Technology, Portugal

CONTENT

1	WHO TESTED INNOMET?	3
2	WHICH TEST CASES WERE TESTED?	4
2.1	NON TESTED TEST CASES.....	4
3	HOW THE TEST CASE REPORTS WERE SUMMARISED?	5
4	TEST CASE REPORTS	6
5	REMARKS	72
5.1	REMARKS IN TEST FORM WITHOUT IDENTIFICATION OF TEST CASES.....	72
5.2	GENERAL REMARKS OUT OF TEST REPORT FORM	74

1 WHO TESTED INNOMET?

Testers; who filled up and sent formal and workable Test Case Reports (had been prepared in the Preliminary Test Plan and fixed by the Budapest meeting of INNOMET II) were as follows:

Testers names	Partner organisation	Partner country
Eileen BROWN	IAL	Italy
Piero BOTTO	IAL	Italy
Dr. MIKÓ Balázs	BME	Hungary
Dr. Boór Ferenc	BME	Hungary

Without their efforts and quasi “professional” work we could not have useful information for advantages and disadvantages, fulfilment and development requirement in the INNOMET Solution.

Testers who were contributing in the TEST by sending general or special remarks about Innomet Prototype System were as follows:

Testers names	Partner organisation	Partner country
Kaia Lõun	EML	Estonia

The test project of INNOMETII solution cannot be considered as absolutely finished and closed. All project partners of INNOMETII tried and used partly or thoroughly InnometII prototype tools and its functionality. Those without executing formal test process thus without sending formal test reports are not considered and listed as testers within this report, but can provide useful information about further development in the future.

The responsible testers (considered as possible testers of further utilisation and development) are listed in the Testware document: Preliminary Test Plan (D5.1).

The full Document of InnometII TestWare includes:

- Preliminary Test Plan – D5.1, document No.: INNOMETII.15.1
- Updated Requirements – D5.2, document No.: INNOMETII.15.2
- Test Report – D5.3, document No.: INNOMETII.18.1 (recent report)

2 WHICH TEST CASES WERE TESTED?

№	Test Case Name	Test Role				
		Administrator	Education	Company	Consultancy	Guest
TC 1.	Create new user					
TC 2.	User account management					
TC 3.	Create new organisation					
TC 4.	Organisation management					
TC 5.	Define organisation structure					
TC 6.	Create skill					
TC 7.	Skill management					
TC 8.	Create skill type					
TC 9.	Skill type management					
TC 10.	Create profession					
TC 11.	Profession management					
TC 12.	Create sector					
TC 13.	Sector management					
TC 14.	Create region					
TC 15.	Region management					
TC 16.	Event log management					
TC 17.	Setting					
TC 18.	Create new course					
TC 19.	Course management					
TC 20.	Create new study program					
TC 21.	Study program management					
TC 22.	Search Courses / Study programs					
TC 23.	Create new skill card template					
TC 24.	Skill card template management					
TC 25.	Create new company skill card					
TC 26.	Company skill card management					
TC 27.	Evaluate company skill card					
TC 28.	Create regional report					
TC 29.	Create company's report					
TC 30.	My profile management					
TC 31.	My account management					
TC 32.	My user settings					
TC 33.	Logging					
TC 34.	Language management					

2.1 Non Tested Test Cases

Non testable Test Cases were as follows:

№	Test Case
TC 35.	On-line Help
TC 36.	On-line Glossary

3 HOW THE TEST CASE REPORTS WERE SUMMARISED?

The collected Test Case Reports were summarised with using simple “AND” operation in term of certain logic formula; following the sub-rules here below:

- Ad 1 Each Tester and Tester Organisation had filled up concerning regional Test Case Report is written in the head field (i.e. Tester name and organisation with the host country) of the Test Case Reports from TC1 to TC34.
- Ad 2 If there was at least one fail mark in the pass/fail criteria field of a function row or one No mark in the Answer field of the Test Questions among the regional Test Case Reports, the sum is marked in the concerning Fail or No column!
- Ad 3 Every comment (providing more than simple synonym or repeating of another one) is involved in the Remark field without any kind of evaluation!

4 TEST CASE REPORTS

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	1	Test case name:	Create new user
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	12.02.2007, 20.02. 2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	BME, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear	X	The name and role is not unambiguous
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable	X	
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role	X	Estonian text
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.		X	All user (in the recent form why not all)
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Creating user would be better done by all registered user types, but only in the role (except for admin) he has. The role modification must be kept under admin right only.
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	2	Test case name:	User account management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete	X	
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof		X	users have problems logging in sometimes
5.	The ergonomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	3	Test case name:	Create new organization
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 20.02. 2007 01.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	BME, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		X The name and role is not unambiguous
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		X Short professions' list, No back function
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		X There is no "Add region" in this form
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			"Sector" is a closed list
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.		X	All
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	Region list is closed
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Creating organisation would be better done by all registered user types, but only (except for admin) in the role he has. The role modification must be kept under admin right only.
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	4	Test case name:	Organisation management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		X
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete	X	
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region	X	
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	5	Test case name:	Create organization structure
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 13.03.2007, 20.02.2007, 01.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	BME, CEE, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data	X	
10.	Evaluate		X No idea how to improve the list
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		X The list is closed for company user
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.	Add	X	
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	open the functionality “add profession” to registered users!
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	6	Test case name:	Create Skill
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		does not go away
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type	X	
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomony (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	7	Test case name:	Skill Management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	8	Test case name:	Create Skilltype
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail		Remarks
1.	Back			
2.	Cancel		X	
3.	Clear			
4.	Copy			
5.	Delete			
6.	Disable			
7.	Division			
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X		
9.	Enter data			
10.	Evaluate			
11.	Filters			
12.	Find			
13.	Log in			
14.	Log out			
15.	New			
16.	Next			
17.	Order			
18.	Organisation			
19.	Page; Next/Previous			
20.	Parameters			
21.	Print			
22.	Profession			
23.	Prompt date			
24.	Region			
25.	Region sorting order			
26.	Reserve, Reservation			
27.	Role			
28.	Save	X		
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			
31.	Search			
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort			
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type	X		
39.	View			
40.				
41.				
42.				
43.				
44.				
45.				

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	9	Test case name:	Skill type management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail		Remarks
1.	Back			
2.	Cancel		X	
3.	Clear			
4.	Copy			
5.	Delete			
6.	Disable			
7.	Division			
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)			
9.	Enter data			
10.	Evaluate			
11.	Filters			
12.	Find			
13.	Log in			
14.	Log out			
15.	New			
16.	Next			
17.	Order			
18.	Organisation			
19.	Page; Next/Previous			
20.	Parameters			
21.	Print			
22.	Profession			
23.	Prompt date			
24.	Region			
25.	Region sorting order			
26.	Reserve, Reservation			
27.	Role			
28.	Save			
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			
31.	Search	X		
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort			
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type			
39.	View			
40.				
41.				
42.				
43.				
44.				
45.				

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)			
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.			
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development			
4.	The function is rather foolproof			
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind			
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development			
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use			
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied			

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	10	Test case name:	Create profession
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		X
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	11	Test case name:	Profession management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	12	Test case name:	Create sector
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail		Remarks
1.	Back			
2.	Cancel		X	
3.	Clear			
4.	Copy			
5.	Delete			
6.	Disable			
7.	Division			
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X		
9.	Enter data			
10.	Evaluate			
11.	Filters			
12.	Find			
13.	Log in			
14.	Log out			
15.	New	X		
16.	Next			
17.	Order			
18.	Organisation			
19.	Page; Next/Previous			
20.	Parameters			
21.	Print			
22.	Profession			
23.	Prompt date			
24.	Region			
25.	Region sorting order			
26.	Reserve, Reservation			
27.	Role			
28.	Save	X		
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			
31.	Search			
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort			
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type			
39.	View			
40.				
41.				
42.				
43.				
44.				
45.				

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	13	Test case name:	Sector management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort	X	
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	14	Test case name:	Create region
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		X
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	15	Test case name:	Region management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	17	Test case name:	Settings
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		X
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	Some problems are here
4.	The function is rather foolproof		X	Non stable function
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	18	Test case name:	Create new course
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 01.03.2007 20.02.2007, 07.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	BMF BGK AGI, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	There is no "Help", There is no "Add" in "Skills developed"
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation	X	The default value is not match with current organization
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	date goes away
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule	X	
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,	X	
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group	X	
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	There is no link to study program. Target group should be divided into two profession lists: one - professions needing this skill, other list of professions which can be used but not necessary to know
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	19	Test case name:	Course management (calendar)
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 20.02.2007, 07.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	BMF BGK AGI, CEE, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back	X	No "Back" function
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete	X	There were general problems reported by Italian partners
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	Too complicate, like in TC 22
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters	X	Too hulking (rather with using by company user)
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation	X	
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters	X	
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View	X	Estonian text
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)			
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	The use of filters is too complicate (company users)
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	Estonian texts and not easy interpretation
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Edit function must be simplified. Filer developing required
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	20	Test case name:	Create new study program
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 20.02.2007, 01.03.2007, 07.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	BMF BGK AGI, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		“works”
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear	X	The name and role is not unambiguous
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	General problems are reported by Italian partners
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession	X	There is no “Add” function
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)			
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	Profession list is closed
4.	The function is rather foolproof		X	
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Add profession by any registered user is very important. In the recent one is not a user friend solution. It would be better to develop profession simplification or classification key (functionality)
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	21	Test case name:	Study program management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 20.02.2007, 07.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Piero BOTTO, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	BMF BGK AGI, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		X The name and role is not unambiguous
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New	X	
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		X There is no "Add" function
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	Profession list is closed
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	search for course only
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Add profession by any registered user is very important. In the recent one is not a user friend solution. It would be better to develop profession simplification or classification key (functionality)
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	22	Test case name:	Search course and study program
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 01.03.2007, 07.03.2007, 13.03.2007,	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Eileen BROWN, Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	BMF BGK AGI, BME, CEE, IAL	Tester location:	Hungary, Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back	X	There is no "Back" function
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear	X	The name and role is ambiguous
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters	X	Too hulking
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation	X	occurred
27.	Role		
28.	Save	?	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select	X	
33.	Set filters	X	
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View	X	
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	For Company or Guest user to use filters is too complicate
4.	The function is rather foolproof		X	
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	For Company or Guest user cannot get right filtering way
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	It is learnable, but a Company or Guest may give up searching of solution
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	23	Test case name:	Create new skill card template
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02.2007, 01.03.2007, 07.03.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail		Remarks
1.	Back			
2.	Cancel		X	
3.	Clear			
4.	Copy			
5.	Delete			
6.	Disable			
7.	Division			
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X		
9.	Enter data	X		Required level has not got a limit! It can be infinite
10.	Evaluate			
11.	Filters			
12.	Find			
13.	Log in			
14.	Log out			
15.	New	X		
16.	Next			
17.	Order			
18.	Organisation			
19.	Page; Next/Previous			
20.	Parameters			
21.	Print			
22.	Profession			
23.	Prompt date			
24.	Region			
25.	Region sorting order			
26.	Reserve, Reservation			
27.	Role		X	Estonian text
28.	Save	X		
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			
31.	Search			
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort			
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type			
39.	View			
40.				
41.				
42.				
43.				
44.				
45.				

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof		X	Required level has not got a limit! It can be infinitive
5.	The ergonomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	See above!
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	Italian partners cannot manage to use this function at all
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	Italian partners cannot manage to use this function at all

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	24	Test case name:	Skill card template management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02.2007, 01.03.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail		Remarks
1.	Back			
2.	Cancel		X	
3.	Clear	X		
4.	Copy	X		
5.	Delete	X		
6.	Disable			
7.	Division			
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X		
9.	Enter data			
10.	Evaluate			
11.	Filters			
12.	Find			
13.	Log in			
14.	Log out			
15.	New			
16.	Next			
17.	Order			
18.	Organisation			
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X		
20.	Parameters			
21.	Print			
22.	Profession			
23.	Prompt date			
24.	Region			
25.	Region sorting order			
26.	Reserve, Reservation			
27.	Role			
28.	Save			
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			
31.	Search	X		
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort	X		
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type			
39.	View	X		
40.				
41.				
42.				
43.				
44.				
45.				

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof		X	See Test Case 23
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	See Test Case 23
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	25	Test case name:	Create company skill card
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02.2007, 01.03.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail		Remarks
1.	Back		X	No Back function
2.	Cancel		X	
3.	Clear			
4.	Copy			
5.	Delete			
6.	Disable			
7.	Division			
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X		
9.	Enter data			
10.	Evaluate			
11.	Filters			
12.	Find			
13.	Log in			
14.	Log out			
15.	New	X		
16.	Next			
17.	Order			
18.	Organisation			
19.	Page; Next/Previous			
20.	Parameters			
21.	Print			
22.	Profession			
23.	Prompt date			
24.	Region			
25.	Region sorting order			
26.	Reserve, Reservation			
27.	Role		X	Estonian text
28.	Save	X		
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			
31.	Search			
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort			
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type			
39.	View		X	Estonian text
40.				
41.				
42.				
43.				
44.				
45.				

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Estonian text must be changed
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	The process is not clear
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	26	Test case name:	Company skill card management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back	X	
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy	X	
5.	Delete	X	
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate	X	
11.	Filters	X	
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View	X	
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)		X	It is not sure whether in this form it works for companies
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	Modification of needed level is too complicate.
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Several problems with using this function!
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	The process is not clear
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	27	Test case name:	Evaluate company skill cards
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	20.02.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back	X	
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date	X	
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	The process is not clear
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	28	Test case name:	Create regional report
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02.2007, 01.03.2007. 07.03.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Eileen Brown
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear	X	
4.	Copy	X	
5.	Delete	X	
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next	X	
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous	X	
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		X only the graph is printed
22.	Profession	X	
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region	X	
25.	Region sorting order	X	
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search	X	
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,	X	
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View	X	
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	To use filters is too complicate
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomoy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	See above!
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	Italian partners reported: the system stopped them when trying to go further in the creation of a regional report.
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	29	Test case name:	Create company's report
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	13.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	CEE	Tester location:	Budapest, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)		
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next	X	
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation	X	
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession	X	
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,	X	
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	“Workers Skills” can run in two different menus
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		Italian partners reported: the system stopped them when trying to go further in the creation of a regional report.
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	30	Test case name:	My profile management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		X
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	31	Test case name:	My account management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02. 2007, 07.03.2007	Tester name:	Piero BOTTO, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	IAL	Tester location:	Italy

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
46.	Back		
47.	Cancel	X	
48.	Clear		
49.	Copy		
50.	Delete		
51.	Disable		
52.	Division		
53.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
54.	Enter data		
55.	Evaluate		
56.	Filters		
57.	Find		
58.	Log in		
59.	Log out		
60.	New		
61.	Next		
62.	Order		
63.	Organisation		
64.	Page; Next/Previous		
65.	Parameters		
66.	Print		
67.	Profession		
68.	Prompt date		
69.	Region		
70.	Region sorting order		
71.	Reserve, Reservation		
72.	Role		
73.	Save	X	
74.	Save as image		
75.	Schedule		
76.	Search		
77.	Select		
78.	Set filters		
79.	Skills developed,		
80.	Sort		
81.	Structure		
82.	Target group		
83.	Type		
84.	View		
85.			
86.			
87.			
88.			
89.			
90.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
9.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
10.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
11.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
12.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
13.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
14.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
15.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	
16.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied		X	

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	32	Test case name:	My user settings
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	20.02.2007, 07.03.2007 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Pierro BOTTO, DR. MIKÓ Balázs, Eileen BROWN
Tester organisation:	IAL, CEE	Tester location:	Italy, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	Italian partners reported general problems
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region	X	Closed list
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	The Region list is closed
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	Open definition of region list for registered user!
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	33	Test case name:	Logging
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date:	12.02.2007, 13.03.2007	Tester name:	Dr. BOÓR Ferenc, DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	BMF BGK AGI, CEE	Tester location:	Budapest, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)	X	The script becomes OK only after changing language from Estonian to English
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in	X	
14.	Log out	X	
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save		
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.	Language management	X	
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development	X		
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomony (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind		X	There are shifted LOGIN script just entering first
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development	X		
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use	X		
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	34	Test case name:	Language management
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	13.03.2007	Tester name:	DR. MIKÓ Balázs
Tester organisation:	CEE	Tester location:	Budapest, Hungary

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel	X	
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)		
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		
21.	Print		
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		
26.	Reserve, Reservation		
27.	Role		
28.	Save	X	
29.	Save as image		
30.	Schedule		
31.	Search		
32.	Select		
33.	Set filters		
34.	Skills developed,		
35.	Sort		
36.	Structure		
37.	Target group		
38.	Type		
39.	View		
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			

Question No	Test question	Answer		Remarks
		Yes	No	
1.	The function is adequate to an INNOMET requirement (if Yes, remark is requirements number)	X		
2.	The function is adequate to user role assigned In case No: Remark is required whether which user or users (U: Admin, Edu, Comp, Cons, Guest) should use this function else or instead current one.	X		
3.	The function is professional in the terms of software development		X	Default language is always forgotten, not the current or changed by entering as a registered user
4.	The function is rather foolproof	X		
5.	The ergonomomy (name, attendant text) of the function is enough for understanding the content behind	X		
6.	The function fulfils the requirement or requires further development		X	
7.	The function is coming natural (evident as much as possible) to the user how to use		X	The term of Editable language is not clear
8.	A common user is able to make sure of whether the result is satisfied	X		

5 REMARKS

5.1 Remarks in Test Form without identification of Test Cases

TEST CASE REPORT			
Test case number:	??	Test case name:	Unidentified and unnamed
Used user role: (mark with <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> !)	Administrator: <input type="checkbox"/>	Educational Institute: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Company: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Consultancy: <input type="checkbox"/>	Public user (Guest): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	03.03.2007	Tester name:	Kaia Lõun
Tester organisation:	EML	Tester location:	Estonia

No.	Action	Pass/Fail	Remarks
1.	Back		
2.	Cancel		
3.	Clear		
4.	Copy		
5.	Delete		
6.	Disable		
7.	Division		
8.	Edit (~ info, ~ language)		
9.	Enter data		
10.	Evaluate		
11.	Filters		
12.	Find		
13.	Log in		
14.	Log out		
15.	New		
16.	Next		
17.	Order		
18.	Organisation		
19.	Page; Next/Previous		
20.	Parameters		<p>In the course calendar should be options for sorting the courses according the following parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - By educational organisation - By name of the course (alphabetical order) - By type of training (further training, regular training) - by beginning time of the course - by ending date of the course - by lecturer - by course price level
21.	Print		Via Educational user: Printing of the list of registered participants should be also for representative of educational organisation
22.	Profession		
23.	Prompt date		
24.	Region		
25.	Region sorting order		

26.	Reserve, Reservation			<p>Public user can reserve the course from calendar (selecting after the course „Reserve” and then opens registration form) Via Company user: Employee can reserve from calendar in 2 ways – as selecting existing staff members , but also the employees not inputted into the Innomet system yet (as open user) – that is ok in my mind</p> <p>Via Educational user: Data fields for registering into a course should be more – the needed fields are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Course (by default the course were person started to fill up the registration formular) • Course participant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ First name ○ Family name ○ ID code ○ Home address ○ Contact phone ○ E-mail ○ Company ○ Registration number of company ○ Address of company ○ Postal index ○ Field of activity for company ○ Bill to be sent: company; person (select) ○ Bill to be mailed: by e-mail, by regular mail (select) ○ Other comments <p style="text-align: center;">REGISTER button</p> <p>By default could be set this way, that if mailing address of company is filled, then home address can be left unfilled; other fields must be filled up – oterwise the reservation cannot be finished.</p>
27.	Role			
28.	Save			
29.	Save as image			
30.	Schedule			<p>Via Public or Company user: Course calendar – now both further training and regular education courses are opened in the single list. There should be necessary to distinguish in the calendar whether to display courses for :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular education (university degree) • Further training (those having degree or vocation already) • Full list of courses
31.	Search			
32.	Select			
33.	Set filters			
34.	Skills developed,			
35.	Sort			
36.	Structure			
37.	Target group			
38.	Type			
39.	View			
40.				

5.2 General remarks out of Test Report Form

- 1 often get this message when logging in
Notice:
Undefined property: current_module in
/home/innomet/libs/applib/components/alAuth.php on line 106
Headers already sent, cannot redirect. Please click here: [DefaultModule.html](#)
- 2 Throughout testing we have not been able to delete, maybe this was not possible to protect from deleting others' data?
- 3 The phase of skill card management is rather confusing, a printed manual or some kind of instruction would have been of help.
- 4 There is no mention of competence levels, I thought that the Innomet 2 was to include some sort of indications for evaluating competence levels, otherwise it remains subjective.
- 5 Lots and lots of competences again, there should be some sort of unification for same skills just differing by synonyms